



Participant Evaluation - LIVE GYN Symposium

"Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Ovarian Cancer -
Clinical Considerations and Research Opportunities"

San Diego, CA February 5, 2015

LEGIBLY PRINT OR TYPE YOUR NAME HERE:

(REQUIRED in order to receive CME credit)

For future meetings how would you like to receive your agenda book on the day of the symposium?

☐ Electronic (link for ipads, computers)

☐ Paper book

Please use the drop down box that best indicates your extent to which, as a result of attending this meeting you are better able to:

1. Recognize the current evidence regarding NACT in advanced ovarian cancer.

Drop-down List

2. Apply current strategies to assess functional status and co-morbidities in patients with advanced ovarian cancer and utilize this information to inform decision regarding NACT or primary debulking.

Drop-down List

3. Outline the evidence regarding various imaging and biomarker strategies can be utilized to inform decision regarding NACT or primary debulking.

Drop-down List

4. Knowledge of how future trials incorporating NACT strategies can be utilized to identify key biologic processes in ovarian cancer and how targeted therapeutics might affect these processes.

Drop-down List

OVERALL MEETING SUMMARY

On a scale of 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*) please state your level of agreement with the following statements:

Strongly
< Disagree
1 2 3 4 5
Strongly
Agree >

1. Overall, this activity was excellent

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. Program topics and content met the stated objectives

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Content was relevant to your educational needs

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

4. Educational Format was conducive to learning

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

5. Acquired knowledge will be applied in your practice environment

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

6. Program was fair, objective, and unbiased toward any product or program

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

If you answered
#6 with a
"strongly
disagree" Please
explain

Turn Over

SPEAKERS: Session I - Surgery or NACT in Ovarian Cancer: Factoring in Age, Functional Status and Comorbidity
Moderator: William Tew, MD

Speakers: Please rate the teaching effectiveness of each speaker Please use the drop down list 1-Poor - 2- Needs Improvement 3-Fair 4-Great 5- Excellent	Deborah Armstrong, MD	Kathleen Moore, MD	William Tew, MD,	Vivian Von Gruenigen, MD
Effective in presenting material				
Speaker has expertise on the topic				
Presentation was well organized and speaker was well-prepared				
Presentation was fair, objective, and avoided commercial bias or influence				
Discussion points were stated at the onset and well developed by the speaker				

SPEAKERS: SESSION II - Assessing Resectability as a guide to choosing NACT or Primary Cytoreduction
Moderator: Neil Horowitz, MD

Speakers: Please rate the teaching effectiveness of each speaker Please use the drop down list 1-Poor - 2- Needs Improvement 3-Fair 4-Great 5- Excellent	Dennis Chi, MD	Neil Horowitz, MD	Michael Birrer, MD, Ph.D.	Anil Sood, MD
Effective in presenting material				
Speaker has expertise on the topic				
Presentation was well organized and speaker was well-prepared				
Presentation was fair, objective, and avoided commercial bias or influence				
Discussion points were stated at the onset and well developed by the speaker				

Young Investigators Presentations

Speakers: Please rate the teaching effectiveness of each speaker Please use the drop down list 1-Poor - 2- Needs Improvement 3-Fair 4-Great 5-Excellent	Whitney Graybill, MD	Lilian Gien, MD
Effective in presenting material		
Speaker has expertise on the topic		
Presentation was well organized and speaker was well-prepared		
Presentation was fair, objective, and avoided commercial bias or influence		
Discussion points were stated at the onset and well developed by the speaker		

Speakers: <u>Please rate the teaching effectiveness of each speaker</u> <u>Please use the drop down list</u> <u>1-Poor - 2- Needs Improvement 3-Fair 4-Great 5- Excellent</u>	Barbara Conley, MD	Robert Burger, MD	Michael Bookman, MD	Michael Sill, MD, Ph.D.
Effective in presenting material				
Speaker has expertise on the topic				
Presentation was well organized and speaker was well-prepared				
Presentation was fair, objective, and avoided commercial bias or influence				
Discussion points were stated at the onset and well developed by the speaker				

What strategies/changes do you plan to implement into your practice? (Mark all that apply)

☐ I will Improve communication with my patients

☐ Patient counseling and enrollment in trials

☐ Share with others in my practice the information learned in this activity

☐ Increase screening

☐ This activity will not change my strategies/skills

☐ I gained new strategies/skills from this activity

☐ Other

Do you anticipate any BARRIERS to your implementing any changes to your practice? (Mark all that apply)

☐ Knowledge

☐ No Barriers

☐ Cost effective

☐ Policy issues

☐ Staff or other system resources

☐ Reimbursement Issues & Funding

☐ Other

How would you compare your educational experience with other CME activities in the past 12 months

☐ Excellent

☐ Great

☐ Neutral

☐ Needs Improvement

☐ Needs serious improvement

☐ Other

Do you have any suggestions for future topics that would enhance your learning objectives

Thank You